



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,531	02/13/2001	Michael R. May	SIG000059	7547	
7590 04/08/2004 Garlic, Harrison & Markison LLP P.O. BOX 160727 aUSTIN, TX 78716			EXAMINER		
			HA, DAC V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>2007.11</b> , 111 707.10			2634	1_	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans							
		09/782,5		MAY, MICHAEL R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	-	Art Unit			
		Dac V. Ha		2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS IN COMMUNIONS OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMM	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. or days, a reply within the staututory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this cor  CO (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 13 February 20	001.		•		
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  2. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive	on No	Stage		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	· ·	` ''	ed.			
			•				
					le le		
Attachment	• •		0 🗆	(DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or f r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		·152)		

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ma et al. (US 5,748,126) (hereinafter Ma).

**Regarding claim 12**, Ma teaches all the claimed subject matter in claim 12 as follows.

"generating a system clock" (Figure 4, element 445; Figure 8A, element 870; Col. 8, lines 35-38; Col. 12, lines 29-31);

"converting a frequency of first data ... first channel frequency" (Figure 8A, elements 800, 810, 840, 870, 850; Col. 8, lines 39-58; Col. 12, lines 32-40; Col. 12, line 51 to Col. 13, line 8; Col. 13, lines 32-62);

"converting domain of ... a second domain" (Figure 8A, element 880; Col. 13, lines 13-14);

"converting a frequency of second data ... second channel frequency" (Figure 8A, elements 820, 830, 840, 870, 850; Col. 8, lines 39-58; Col. 12, line 41 to Col. 13, line 8; Col. 13, lines 32-62);

"converting domain of ... a second domain" (Figure 8A, element 880; Col. 13, lines 13-14).

Art Unit: 2634

Regarding claim 1, see claim 12 above.

Regarding claim 10, Ma further teaches the claimed subject matter "wherein ... analog converter" in Figure 8A, element 880.

Regarding claim 11, Ma further teaches the claimed subject matter "wherein ... second data respectively" is known in Figure 8A, element 890; Figure 2, elements 222, 247.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-9, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma.

Regarding claim 16, Ma teaches all the claimed subject matter in claim 16 (similar to that stated above), except for the claimed subject matter "processing ... cause the processing module". However, these claimed subject matter would have been design specific and would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as optional.

Regarding claim 2, Ma teaches all the claimed subject matter in claim 2, as stated above. Ma further teaches the concept of simultaneously converting between a first domain and a second domain in Figure 11; Col. 16, line 32 to Col. 17, line 38. Even though Ma only illustrates conversion from a second domain to the first domain includes

Application/Control Number: 09/782,531 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

one channel, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that more than one channel could have been implemented (i.e., as that applied for the conversion from a first domain to a second domain) without departing from its scope. Therefore, the claimed subject matter "third channel path ... fourth channel frequency" would have been obvious to one skilled in the art based on the teaching from Ma above.

Regarding claims 13, 17, see claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 3, Ma teaches all the claimed subject matter in claim 2, as stated above. Ma further suggests the teaching of the claimed subject matter "a control module ... respectively" in Col. 13, lines 33-61. That is "a control module" would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for achieving such calculation.

Regarding claims 14, 18, see claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 4, the claimed subject matter "receiver module ... converted word" would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as optional in that the data in a first domain in Figures 4 and 8A could have been "a word" and the use of memory (i.e., buffer) for storing the data would have been optional.

Regarding claims 15, 19, see claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 5, Ma further teaches the claimed subject matter "integer rate conversion ... converted word" in Figure 8A, elements 800, 820, 830, 840, 850, 860.

Regarding claim 6, Ma further teaches the claimed subject matter "interpolative rate ... converted word" in Figure 8A, elements 800, 820, 830, 840, 850.

**Regarding claims 7-9**, see claims 4-6 above, respectively.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2634

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chan et al. (US 6,201,486) disclose Pre-Processing Of Multiple Sample Rates Sources To Simplify And Improve Multi-Channel DAC Design.

Watanabe et al. (US 6,215,948) disclose Magnetic Recording/Reproducing Apparatus And The Same Equipped With An Image Sensor.

Margrane (US 4,669,314) discloses Variable Focusing In Ultrasound Imaging Using Non-Uniform Sampling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 703-306-5536. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

Dac V. Ha Examiner Art Unit 2634